

Simple Lie algebras which generalize Witt algebras

Ki-Bong Nam *

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We introduce a new class of simple Lie algebras $W(n, m)$ (see Definition 1) that generalize the Witt algebra by using "exponential" functions, and also a subalgebra $W^*(n, m)$ thereof; and we show each derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$ can be written as a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation (Theorem. 2) [10]. The Lie algebra $W(n, m)$ is Z -graded and is infinite growth [4].

1 Introduction

Let F be a field of characteristic zero. Let us start by recalling the **general algebra** $W^+(n)$. (For more details, please refer to [14].)

The Lie algebra $W(n)$ has a basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in Z, 1 \leq k \leq n\},$$

and a Lie bracket on basis elements given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k, x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \\ &= j_k x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_k^{i_k+j_k-1} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n+j_n} \partial_t \\ &- i_t x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_t^{i_t+j_t-1} x_{t+1}^{i_{t+1}+j_{t+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n+j_n} \partial_k \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where ∂_t is the partial derivative with respect to x_t , $1 \leq t \leq n$.

It is well known that the Witt algebra $W^+(n)$ is defined to be the subalgebra of $W(n)$, with the basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in Z^+ \cup \{0\}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

In [5] Kawamoto generalizes Witt algebras; we call the algebra $W(G, I)$ in [5] Kawamoto algebra. Dokovic and Zhao [2] show that their algebras generalize Kawamoto algebras. But their algebras are different from our algebras because their algebras have ad semi-simple elements (see [2]), whereas our algebras have none .

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706

The main results of this paper are following:

Theorem 1 The Lie algebras $W(n, m)$, $W(n, m, r, s)$ and $W^*(n, m)$ are simple Lie algebras.

(See Definition 1, Definition 2 and Definition 3.)

Theorem 3 Each derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$ is a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation.

2 Generalized Witt algebras

We now give the definition of the algebra $W(n, m)$.

Definition 1 For any pair of natural numbers n, m , define the Lie algebra $W(n, m)$ to be the algebra spanned linearly by a basis

$$\{e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{b_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}} \partial_i \mid a_1, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_{m+n} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq m+n\},$$

with Lie bracket on basis elements given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{l_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{l_{m+n}} \partial_i, e^{b_1 x_1} \dots e^{b_n x_n} x_1^{t_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{t_{m+n}} \partial_j] \\ &= b_i e^{a_1 x_1 + b_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n + b_n x_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{l_{m+n} + t_{m+n}} \partial_j \\ &+ t_i e^{a_1 x_1 + b_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n + b_n x_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{l_{m+n} + t_{m+n}} x_i^{-1} \partial_j \\ &- a_j e^{a_1 x_1 + b_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n + b_n x_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{l_{m+n} + t_{m+n}} \partial_i \\ &- l_i e^{a_1 x_1 + b_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n + b_n x_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{l_{m+n} + t_{m+n}} x_j^{-1} \partial_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $b_i = 0$ if $n+1 \leq i \leq n+m$, and $a_j = 0$ if $n+1 \leq j \leq n+m$ (1).

Definition 2 Define $W^*(n, m)$ to be the Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $W(n, m)$ spanned by the basis

$$e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{b_1} \dots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}} \partial_i \quad (3)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b_1, \dots, b_{m+n} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $1 \leq i \leq m+n$.

Remarks: It can be checked that the Lie bracket of any two such basis elements produces a linear combination of the same form.

Definition 3 Define $W(n, m, r, s)$ as the Lie subalgebra of $W(n, m)$ spanned by the basis

$$\begin{aligned} & \{e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_r^{i_r} x_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}} \dots x_n^{i_n} x_{n+1}^{i_{n+1}} \dots x_{n+s}^{i_{n+s}} x_{n+s+1}^{i_{n+s+1}} \dots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}} \partial_k \mid \\ & a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}, i_1, \dots, i_r, i_{n+1}, \dots, i_{n+s} \in \mathbb{Z}, i_{r+1}, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1+s}, \dots, i_{n+s} \in \mathbb{N}, \\ & 1 \leq k \leq m+n\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of non-negative integers and $r \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$.

$W(0, s + r, 0, r)$ is the Lie subalgebra of $W(n, m)$ spanned by the basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r} x_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}} \cdots x_{r+s}^{i_{r+s}} \partial_j \mid i_1, \dots, i_r \in Z, i_{r+1}, \dots, i_{r+s} \in N, 1 \leq j \leq r + s\},$$

where $r, s \in N$.

Note that the Lie algebra $W(0, s, 0, r)$ is isomorphic to a Lie algebra in the class W^* of [11].

Let Z^k denotes the product of k factors of Z . We consider an n -tuple $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Z^n$ and an $(n + m)$ -tuple $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_{n+m}) \in Z^{n+m}$. If we put

$$e^\alpha := e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n}$$

and

$$x^\beta := x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}},$$

then any element l in $W(n, m)$ has the form

$$l = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, p} a_{\alpha, \beta, p} e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_p,$$

for $a_{\alpha, \beta, p} \in F$. Let us write $e^{a x_i} \partial_p = e^{a \epsilon_i} \partial_p$, and $x_i^b \partial_p = x^{b \epsilon_i} \partial_p$, for $1 \leq p \leq n + m$, where $a, b \in Z$.

The Lie algebra $W(n, m)$ has a Z^k -gradation for $1 \leq k \leq n$ as follows:

$$W(n, m) = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in Z^k} W_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \quad (4)$$

where $W_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is a subspace of $W(n, m)$ with basis

$$\{e^{\alpha x} x^\beta \partial_k \mid \alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \in Z^n, \beta \in Z^{n+m}, 1 \leq k \leq n + m\}.$$

Let us call W_α the α -homogeneous component of $W(n, m)$ and elements in W_α the α -homogeneous elements. Note that the $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous component is isomorphic to the Witt algebra $W(n + m)$. From now on let us denote the $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous component as the 0-homogeneous component.

We introduce a lexicographic ordering of the basis elements of $W(n, m)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } l_1 &= e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}} \partial_p \\ \text{and } l_2 &= e^{c_1 x_1} \cdots e^{c_n x_n} x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{d_{m+n}} \partial_q, \\ \text{we have } l_1 &\geq l_2 \\ \text{if } (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_{n+m}, p) &\geq (c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_{n+m}, q) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

by the natural lexicographic ordering in $Z^n \times Z^{n+m} \times Z$.

For any element $l \in W(n, m)$, l can be written as follows using the ordering and gradation,

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \sum_{\beta_1} a_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, p} e^{\alpha_1} x^{\beta_1} \partial_p \\ &+ \sum_{\beta_2} a_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, p} e^{\alpha_2} x^{\beta_2} \partial_r \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \sum_{\beta_s} a_{\alpha_s, \beta_s, r} e^{\alpha_s} x^{\beta_s} \partial_q, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_s$.

Let us define the **string number** $st(l) = s$ for l .

For any $l \in W(n, m)$, let us define a largest power $lp_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(l)$ as the largest power of polynomials in $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ -homogeneous elements.

Similarly for any $l \in W(n, m)$, we can define a largest power $lp_l(l)$ as the largest power of polynomials in l -homogeneous elements.

For the sake of clarity, from now on we generally omit subscripts.

Lemma 1 *If $l \in W(n, m)$ is any non-zero element then the ideal $\langle l \rangle$ generated by l contains an element l' whose powers of polynomial parts are positive integers.*

Proof. Since l is a non-zero element of $W(n, m)$, there is a non-zero α -homogeneous component. Then we can take an element $s = x^u \partial_i$ with large positive integers $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ such that $l' = [s, l] \neq 0$. $\square$

Lemma 2 *If an ideal I of $W(n, m)$ contains any ∂_i where $(1 \leq i \leq n + m)$, then $I = W(n, m)$.*

Proof. Since the Witt algebra $W(n + m)$ is simple [5],[11],[14] and $\partial_i \in I$, the Witt algebra $W(n + m) \subset I$. For any basis element $e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_t$ of $W(n, m)$, we can assume $a_k \neq 0$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ because if all $a_k = 0$, then the basis $x^\beta \partial_t \in W(n + m)$ where $W(n + m)$ is a simple Lie algebra [5], [11].

If $\beta = (0, \dots, 0)$, then there is $a_k \neq 0$. We have

$$[\partial_k, e^\alpha \partial_t] = a_k e^\alpha \partial_t \in I.$$

For any basis element $e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_t$ such that $a_u \neq 0$ for $1 \leq u \leq n$, we have

$$[\partial_u, e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_t] = a_u e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_t + b_u e^\alpha x^{\beta - \epsilon_u} \partial_t. \tag{7}$$

$$[e^{a_u \epsilon_u} \partial_u, e^{\alpha - a_u \epsilon_u} x^\beta \partial_t] = b_u e^\alpha x^{\beta - \epsilon_u} \partial_t - \delta_{u,t} a_t e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_u \tag{8}$$

where $\delta_{u,t}$ is the Kronecker delta.

Case I. If $u \neq t$,

$$(7) - (8) = a_u e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_t \in I.$$

Case II. If $u = t$,

$$(7) - (8) = 2a_u e^\alpha x^\beta \partial_t \in I.$$

Therefore, we have proved the lemma. $\square$

$\square$

Lemma 3 *If $\sum c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha x} x^\beta \partial_j$ is a non-zero element of $W(n, m)$ where $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_u, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_u \neq 0$, then $[\partial_u, \sum c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha x} x^\beta \partial_j] \neq 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\partial_u, \sum c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha x} x^\beta \partial_j] \\ &= \sum a_u c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha x} x^\beta \partial_j + \sum b_u c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha x} x^{\beta - \epsilon_u} \partial_j \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

by $c_{\alpha,\beta} a_u \neq 0$ and the ordering in $W(n, m)$. $\square$

Theorem 1 *The Lie algebras $W(n, m)$, $W(n, m, r, s)$ and $W^*(n, m)$ are simple Lie algebras.*

Proof. If I is a non-zero ideal of $W(n, m)$, (or $W^*(n, m)$ or $W(n, m, r, s)$) then by Lemma 1 there is a non-zero element l in I such that all the polynomial parts are positive powers. We show that $I = W(n, m)$ by induction on $st(l)$.

If $st(l) = 1$, then l can be written as follows:

$$l = c_1 e^\alpha x^{\beta_1} \partial_{j_1} + \dots + c_t e^\alpha x^{\beta_t} \partial_{j_t},$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_t \in F$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Z^n$, and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m+n} \in Z^{n+m}$. If $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$, then $l \in W_0^n = W(n, m)$ and $I \cap W(n, m)$ is a non-zero ideal of $W(n, m)$. Thus, $W(n, m) \subset I$ by the simplicity of $W(n, m)$ and $I = W(n, m)$ by Lemma 2.

If $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, then without loss of generality we can assume $a_1 \neq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= [e^{-\alpha} \partial_1, c_1 e^\alpha x^{\beta_1} \partial_{j_1} + \dots + c_t e^\alpha x^{\beta_t} \partial_{j_t}] \\ &= c_1 a_{j_1} x^{\beta_1} \partial_1 + c_1 a_1 x^{\beta_1} \partial_{j_1} + c_1 b_{11} x^{\beta_1 - \epsilon_1} \partial_{j_1} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ c_1 a_{j_t} x^{\beta_t} \partial_1 + c_1 a_1 x^{\beta_t} \partial_{j_t} + c_1 b_{t1} x^{\beta_t - \epsilon_1} \partial_{j_t}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

If $a_{j_1} \neq 0$ and $j_1 = 1$, then we have from (10),

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= 2a_1 c_1 x^{\beta_1} \partial_1 + c_2 a_{j_2} x^{\beta_2} \partial_1 \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ c_t a_{j_t} x^{\beta_t} \partial_1 + c_1 b_{11} x^{\beta_1 - \epsilon_1} \partial_1 \\ &+ c_2 b_{21} x^{\beta_2 - \epsilon_1} \partial_{j_2} + \dots + c_t b_{t1} x^{\beta_t - \epsilon_1} \partial_{j_t} \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

since $2a_1c_1 \neq 0$ and the maximality of $x^{\beta_1}\partial_1$. Since $l_1 \in W(n+m)$, we have $I = W(n, m)$ by the simplicity of $W(n+m)$ and by Lemma 2.

If $a_{j_1} \neq 0$ and $j_1 \neq 1$, then from (10),

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= c_1a_{j_1}x^{\beta_1}\partial_1 + c_1a_1x^{\beta_1}\partial_{j_1} + c_1b_1x^{\beta_1-\epsilon_1}\partial_{j_1} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ c_t a_{j_t} x^{\beta_t} \partial_1 + c_t a_1 x^{\beta_t} \partial_{j_t} + c_t b_{t1} x^{\beta_t-\epsilon_1} \partial_{j_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

If $a_{j_1} \neq 0$ and $j_1 \neq 1$, then $c_1a_{j_1}x^{\beta_1}\partial_1$ is the non-zero maximal element by the ordering in l_1 . Thus, l_1 is a non-zero element of $I \cap W(n+m)$ and it follows $I = W(n, m)$ as the above case.

If $a_{j_1} = 0$ and $j_1 = 1$, then from (10)

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= c_2a_{j_2}x^{\beta_1}\partial_1 + \dots + c_t a_{j_t} x^{\beta_t} \partial_1 \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ c_1a_1x^{\beta_t}\partial_{j_1} + c_1b_{11}x^{\beta_1-\epsilon_1}\partial_{j_1} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ c_t a_1 x^{\beta_t} \partial_{j_t} + c_t b_{t1} x^{\beta_t-\epsilon_1} \partial_{j_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $l_1 \neq 0$, $x^{\beta_1} > x^{\beta_2}$ by assumption.

We can assume $a_{j_1} = 0$. Then from (10) the term $c_1a_1x^{\beta_1}\partial_{j_1}$ is maximal in l_1 , so that element of $I \cap W(n+m)$ has a non-zero element. In this case as before $I = W(n, m)$. Let $n \geq 2$ and suppose that $I = W(n, m)$ for an ideal I containing a non-zero element l with $st(l) \neq n-1$.

Let $l \in I$ and $st(l) = n$. Then l can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \sum_{\beta, k} c_{\alpha, \beta, k} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_k + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{\psi, p} c_{\phi, \psi, p} e^{\phi} x^{\psi} \partial_p \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha > \dots > \phi$ and $\beta > (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\psi > (0, \dots, 0)$ by Lemma 1.

If some terms with e^0 occur in (14), then we can assume there is $a_u \neq 0$ for some u in $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_u, \dots, a_n)$. Let

$$l_2 = [\partial_u, [\partial_u, [\dots, [\partial_u, l] \dots]]$$

where we applied Lie bracket $(lp(l)+1)$ -times. Then the $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous part is zero, $st(l_2) = n-1$ and $l_2 \neq 0$ by Lemma 3. Thus, we have $I = W(n, m)$ by induction hypothesis.

If $\phi = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, then we have $h_u \neq 0$ for some $l \leq u \leq n$. Then we have

$$[e^{-\phi}\partial_u, l] = [e^{-\phi}\partial_u, \sum_{\beta, k} c_{\alpha, \beta, k} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_k + \dots + \sum_{\psi, p} c_{\phi, \psi, p} e^{\phi} x^{\psi} \partial_p] \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\beta,k} a_u c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha-\phi} x^\beta \partial_k \\
&+ \sum_{\beta,k} b_u c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha-\phi} x^{\beta-\epsilon_u} \partial_k \\
&+ \sum_{\beta,k} h_k c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha-\phi} x^\beta \partial_u \\
&\vdots \\
&+ \sum_{\psi,p} h_u c_{\phi,\psi,p} x^\psi \partial_p \\
&+ \sum_{\psi,p} \psi_u c_{\phi,\psi,p} x^{\psi-\epsilon_u} \partial_p \\
&+ \sum_{\psi,p} h_p c_{\phi,\psi,p} x^\psi \partial_u
\end{aligned}$$

such that $\beta > (0, \dots, 0), \dots, \psi > (0, \dots, 0)$ by Lemma 1.

l_3 is non-zero by the argument similar to the case $st(l) = 1$. If the $(\alpha - \psi)$ -homogeneous component is zero, then we can apply the induction hypothesis to have $I = W(n, m)$. If the $(\alpha - \psi)$ -homogeneous component is non-zero, then we have

$$l_4 = [\partial_j, \dots, [\partial_j, l_3] \dots]$$

the Lie bracket $(lp(l) + 1)$ -times. Then l_4 has no $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous components, and we can apply induction hypothesis to have $I = W(n, m)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3 Some Properties of $W(n, m)$.

Consider the gradations of the Witt algebra $W(n)$. The Z -gradation of Witt algebra $W(n)$ is well known [14]. In fact $W(n)$ has a Z^k -gradation for $1 \leq k \leq n$ as follows:

$$W(n) = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in Z^k} W_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} \quad (16)$$

where $W_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$ is the subspace of $W(n)$ with basis

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{u-1}^{a_{u-1}} x_u^{a_u+1} x_{u+1}^{a_{u+1}} \dots x_k^{a_k} x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n} \partial_u \mid 1 \leq u \leq k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \in Z\} \cup \\
&\{x_1^{a_1} \dots x_k^{a_k} x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n} \partial_j \mid k+1 \leq j \leq n, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \in Z\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $W_{(0, \dots, 0) \in Z^k}$ by W_0^k , where $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that $W_0 \supset W_0^2 \supset \dots \supset W_0^n$.

Actually $W(n, m)$ has a Z^{n+m} -gradation as follows:

$$W(n, m) = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m}) \in Z^{n+m}} W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m})}^{n+m}$$

where $W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m})}^{n+m}$ is a subspace of $W(n, m)$ with basis

$$\begin{aligned} & \{e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}} \dots x_{n+m}^{a_{n+m}} \partial_j \mid \\ & 1 \leq j \leq n, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ & \cup \{e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{p_1} \dots x_n^{p_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}} \dots x_{n+j}^{a_{n+j}+1} x_{n+j+1}^{a_{n+j+1}} \dots x_{n+m}^{a_{n+m}} \partial_{n+j} \mid \\ & 1 \leq j \leq m, p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

$W_{(0, \dots, 0)}^{n+m}$ is the subalgebra of $W(n, m)$ with basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \partial_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (17)$$

$$\cup \{x_1^{p_1} \dots x_n^{p_n} \partial_{n+j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (18)$$

$W_{(0, \dots, 0)}^{n+m}$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$W_{(0, \dots, 0)}^{n+m} = W(n) \oplus A,$$

where $W(n)$ has a basis (17), and A has a basis (18). A is an abelian ideal of $W_{(0, \dots, 0)}^{n+m}$.

To compare $W(n, m)$ with other algebras, we need to find the ad-semisimple elements. Since $W(n, m)$ has a sub-algebra $W(0, m)$, we see the element $x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t$, where $t \in \{n+1, \dots, n+m\}$ and $\epsilon_t = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, is an ad-semisimple element of $W(0, m)$.

$W(n, m)$ has a subalgebra $W(n)$ where n comes from the exponential parts. Thus $x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t$ is the candidate of ad-semisimple elements for $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. But

$$[e^{-\epsilon_t} \partial_t, x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t] = e^{-\epsilon_t} \partial_t + e^{-\epsilon_t} x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t.$$

This shows $x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t$ can not be an ad semisimple element of $W(n, m)$. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1 *The Lie algebra $W(n, m)$ has an m -dimensional maximal torus which has the basis $\{x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t \mid n+1 \leq t \leq n+m\}$ with respect to the basis (??).*

Proof. Let l be an ad-semisimple element with respect to the basis (??). Then l can be written as follows:

$$l = \sum_i f_i \partial_i.$$

Then for any j

$$\sum_i \partial_j(f_i) \partial_i = [\partial_j, l] \in F \partial_j.$$

So $\partial_j(f_i) = 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $\partial_i(f_i) = a_i \in F$. It follows that $\partial_j(f_i - a_i x_i) = 0$ for all i, j . So $f_i - a_i x_i$ is a constant. Say $f_i - a_i x_i = b_i$. Thus $f_i = a_i x_i + b_i$ with $a_i, b_i \in F$.

Next

$$\begin{aligned} [x_j \partial_j, l] &= [x_j \partial_j, \sum_i (a_i x_i + b_i) \partial_i] \\ &= (a_j x_j - (a_j x_j + b_j)) \partial_j = -b_j \partial_j \end{aligned}$$

is a multiple of $x_j \partial_j$. Thus $b_j = 0$ and $l = \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i$.

Finally if $j \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{x_j} \partial_j, l] &= [e^{x_j} \partial_j, \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i] \\ &= e^{x_j} (a_j - a_j x_j) \partial_j \end{aligned}$$

is a scalar multiple of $e^{x_j} \partial_j$ so $a_j = 0$.

Thus $l = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} a_i x_i \partial_i$. $\square$

Obviously we have following corollary.

Corollary 1 *The Lie algebra $W(n, 0)$ has no ad-diagonal element with respect to the basis*

$$\{e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n} \partial_i | a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Consider the Lie subalgebra $W^+(1, 0)$ of $W(n, 0)$ which is spanned by

$$\{e^{ax} x^i \partial \mid a, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

This is a subalgebra of $W(1, 0)$ and we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2 *The Lie algebra $W^+(1, 0)$ is a non-simple Lie algebra [4].*

Proof. Consider the subalgebra I_m which is spanned by

$$\{e^{ax} x^i \partial \mid a \geq m, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where $m \geq 0$ and a is any fixed positive integer. Then I_m is a non-trivial ideal of $W^+(1, 0)$. $\square$

This proposition shows that if we want a simple Lie algebra, we need to include the terms of the form $e^{ax} x^i \partial$ where a can be any negative integer. We have proved that the Lie algebra $W(1, 0)$ is simple from Theorem 1.

We have the following Lie embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} W(1) &\subset W(2) \subset \cdots \subset W(m) \subset \cdots, \\ W(1, 1) &\subset W(1, 2) \subset \cdots \subset W(1, m) \subset \cdots, \\ &\dots \\ W(n, 1) &\subset W(n, 2) \subset \cdots \subset W(n, m) \subset \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Let us study a simple Lie algebra which has no toral element. Let $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{C}$, the complex numbers, be a fixed non-root of unity ($q^n \neq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$). The skew polynomial ring $C_q[x, y]$, where $yx = qxy$, has been called the quantum plane. The quantum plane can be localized at the Ore set of powers of x, y to give a non-commutative associative ring of Laurent polynomials $C_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ [8].

Proposition 3 [8] *The Lie algebra of derivations of $C_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ is generated by the inner derivations and the derivations $D_{\alpha, \beta}(x^i y^j) = (\alpha i + \beta j)x^i y^j$.*

Proof. See Theorem 1.2 of [8] on page 3757. $\square$

Let V_q be the Lie algebra of inner derivations on $C_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$. Clearly $\{ad(x^h y^k) | (h, k) \in Z \times Z - \{(0, 0)\}\}$ forms a basis of V_q , and

$$[ad(x^h y^k), ad(x^r y^s)] = ad([x^h y^k, x^r y^s]).$$

Proposition 4 *The Lie algebra V_q is a simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. This case is Theorem 1.3 of [8]. $\square$

Let us consider the Lie algebra $\bar{V}_q$ on $C_q[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}]$ with a different viewpoint from Kirkman, Processi and Small's idea [8].

The Lie algebra $\bar{V}_q$ has a basis $\{x^i y^j \mid i, j \in Z\}$ and a Lie bracket on the basis given by

$$[x^i y^j, x^l y^m] = x^i y^j x^l y^m - x^l y^m x^i y^j$$

for any pair of basis elements $x^i y^j, x^l y^m \in \bar{V}_q$.

Corollary 2 $V_q \cong \bar{V}_q$.

Proof. It is straightforward. $\square$

Consider the Lie algebra $\bar{V}$ which is spanned by

$$\{(a, i) \mid a, i \in Z\}$$

with Lie bracket

$$[(a, i), (b, j)] = (q^{bi} - q^{aj})(a + b, i + j).$$

Proposition 5 $V_q \cong \bar{V}$.

Proof. Define the F -linear map θ from V_q to $\bar{V}$ by $\theta(ad(x^h y^k)) = (h, k)$. Then clearly θ is a bijective Lie algebra homomorphism. Therefore, we have proved the proposition. $\square$

Corollary 3 $\bar{V} \cong V_q \cong \bar{V}_q$.

Proof. It is straightforward. $\square$

Let us study $\bar{V}$ more closely. $(0, 0)$ is a basis of the center of $\bar{V}$, since $[(0, 0), (a, i)] = (0, 0)$ for any $(a, i) \in \bar{V}$. Let (h, k) be the toral element of $\bar{V}$, then for any $(a, i) \in \bar{V}$,

$$[(h, k), (a, i)] = (q^{ka} - q^{hi})(a + h, i + k) = f(a, i)$$

for some $f \in C$. Thus $(h, k) = (0, 0)$. This contradicts the simplicity of $\bar{V} \cong V_q$. $(0, 0)$ cannot be a basis, thus, there is no toral element. The above argument shows that $\bar{V}$ cannot contain the Witt algebra $W(1)$ or $W^+(1)$. Since $W(n, m)$ contains $W(1)$, $W(n, m)$ cannot be isomorphic to $\bar{V}$.

4 Derivations of $W^*(1, 0)$

In this section we determine all the derivations of the Lie subalgebra $W^*(1, 0)$ of $W^*(n, m)$. Ikeda and Kawamoto found all the derivations of the Kawamoto algebra $W(G, I)$ in their paper [7]. It is very important to find the derivations of a given Lie algebra to compare with other Lie algebras. Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F . An F -linear map D from L to L is a derivation if $D([l_1, l_2]) = [D(l_1), l_2] + [l_1, D(l_2)]$ for any $l_1, l_2 \in L$.

Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F . Define the derivation D of L to be a scalar derivation if for all basis elements l of L , $D(l) = f_l l$ where f_l is a scalar depending on l [1].

Let d be any additive function of Z to F . Then it is to see that the linear transformation D_d determined by the mapping of the basis elements of $W^*(1, 0)$ by $D_d(e^{ax} x^i \partial) = d(a) e^{ax} x^i \partial$ is a derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$.

In this section we consider the stable F -algebra $F[e^{\pm x}, x]$ in $F[[x]]$ with F -algebra basis $\{e^{ax} x^i | a \in Z, i \in N\}$ [4].

Let us calculate all the derivations of the Lie subalgebra $W^*(1, 0)$ of $W(n, m)$. We need the following lemma for the main theorem.

Lemma 4 *Let D be a derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$. If $D(\partial) = 0$, then $D = fad_\partial + S$ where $f \in F$ and S is a scalar derivation.*

Proof. Let D be a derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$ such that $D(\partial) = 0$.

We have

$$D([\partial, x\partial]) = D(\partial) = 0. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, x\partial]) = [D(\partial), x\partial] + [\partial, D(x\partial)] = [\partial, D(x\partial)]. \quad (20)$$

We can put $D(x\partial) = f\partial$ for $f \in F[e^{\pm x}, x]$. Then from (19) and (20),

$$0 = [\partial, D(x\partial)] = [\partial, f\partial] = f'\partial. \quad (21)$$

Since f is an analytic function satisfying $f' = 0$, we have $f = c$ for $c \in F$. From (21) we have $D(x\partial) = c\partial$.

Inductively, we assume

$$D(x^n \partial) = ncx^{n-1} \partial \quad (22)$$

for $n \in N$.

We have

$$D([\partial, x^{n+1} \partial]) = (n+1)D(x^n \partial) = n(n+1)cx^{n-1} \partial. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) = [D(\partial), x^{n+1}\partial] + [\partial, D(x^{n+1}\partial)] = [\partial, D(x^{n+1}\partial)]. \quad (24)$$

From (23) and (24), we have

$$D(x^{n+1}\partial) = \phi\partial + (n+1)cx^n\partial$$

for some $\phi \in F$.

Also we have

$$D([x\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) = nD(x^{n+1}\partial) = n\phi\partial + n(n+1)cx^n\partial. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} D([x\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) &= [D(x\partial), x^{n+1}\partial] + [x\partial, D(x^{n+1}\partial)] \\ &= c[\partial, x^{n+1}\partial] + [x\partial, \phi\partial + (n+1)cx^n\partial] \\ &= c(n+1)x^n\partial - \phi\partial + (n-1)(n+1)cx^n\partial \\ &= c(n+1)nx^n\partial - \phi\partial. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

From (25) and (26), we have $\phi = 0$.

Therefore, for any $n \in N$ we have $D(x^n\partial) = ncx^{n-1}\partial$.

We have

$$D([\partial, e^x\partial]) = D(e^x\partial). \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, e^x\partial]) = [D(\partial), e^x\partial] + [\partial, D(e^x\partial)] = [\partial, D(e^x\partial)]. \quad (28)$$

From (27) and (28), we have

$$\partial(D(e^x\partial)) = D(e^x\partial).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(e^x\partial) = de^x\partial$$

for $d \in F$.

Inductively assume $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$ for $1 \neq p \in N$. We have

$$D([e^x\partial, e^{px}\partial]) = (p-1)D(e^{p+x}\partial). \quad (29)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} &[D(e^x\partial), e^{px}\partial] + [e^x\partial, D(e^{px}\partial)] \\ &= [de^x\partial, e^{px}\partial] + [e^x\partial, pde^{px}\partial] \\ &= (p-1)(p+1)de^{p+x}\partial. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From (29) and (30), we have

$$D(e^{px+x}\partial) = d(p+1)e^{px+x}\partial.$$

Therefore, we have $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$ for $p \in N$.

Also we have

$$D([\partial, e^{-x}\partial]) = -D(e^{-x}\partial). \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, e^{-x}\partial]) = [D(\partial), e^{-x}\partial] + [\partial, D(e^{-x}\partial)] = [\partial, D(e^{-x}\partial)]. \quad (32)$$

From (31) and (32), we have

$$\partial(D(e^{-x}\partial)) = D(e^{-x}\partial).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(e^{-x}\partial) = he^{-x}\partial$$

for $h \in F$.

We have

$$D([e^{-x}\partial, e^x\partial]) = 2D(\partial) = 0. \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} D([e^{-x}\partial, e^x\partial]) &= [D(e^{-x}\partial), e^x\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, D(e^x\partial)] \\ &= [he^{-x}\partial, e^x\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, de^x\partial]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

From (33) and (34), we have $h = -d$.

Inductively assume $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$ where p is a fixed negative integer. We have

$$D([e^{-x}\partial, e^{px}\partial]) = (p+1)D(e^{px-x}\partial). \quad (35)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} &[D(e^{-x}\partial), e^{px}\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, D(e^{px}\partial)] \\ &= [-de^{-x}\partial, e^{px}\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, pde^{px}\partial] \\ &= (p-1)(p+1)de^{px-x}\partial. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

From (35) and (36), we have $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$ holds for any negative integer p . Therefore, $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$ holds for any $p \in Z$.

Let us show by induction that

$$D(e^{px}x^n\partial) = dpe^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial$$

for $p \in Z$ and $n \in N$.

Inductively assume

$$D(e^{px}x^n\partial) = pde^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial$$

for any $p \in Z$ and a fixed $n \in N$. We have

$$D([x\partial, e^{px}x^n\partial]) = pD(e^{px}x^{n+1}\partial) + (n-1)D(e^{px}x^n\partial). \quad (37)$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$[D(x\partial), e^{px}x^n\partial] + [x\partial, D(e^{px}x^n\partial)]. \quad (38)$$

From (37) and (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} pD(e^{px}x^{n+1}\partial) &= -(n-1)pde^{px}x^n\partial - (n-1)nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial \\ &+ pce^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial \\ &+ [x\partial, pde^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial] \\ &= -(n-1)pde^{px}x^n\partial - n(n-1)ce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial + pce^{px}x^n\partial \\ &+ nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial + p^2de^{px}x^{n+1}\partial + p(n-1)de^{px}x^n\partial \\ &+ pnce^{px}x^n\partial + nc(n-2)e^{px}x^{n-1}\partial. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Therefore, we have

$$D(e^{px}x^{n+1}\partial) = pde^{px}x^{n+1}\partial + (n+1)ce^{px}x^n\partial$$

for any $p \in Z$ and any $n \in N$.

Therefore, $D = \text{cad}_\partial + fS$ for an appropriate $f \in F$. $\square$

Theorem 2 *Each derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$ can be written as a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation.*

Proof. Let D be any derivation of $W^*(1, 0)$. Then $D(\partial) = f\partial$ for some $f \in F[e^{\pm x}, x]$. Since $\partial : F[e^{\pm x}, x] \rightarrow F[e^{\pm x}, x]$ is onto map, there is a function $g \in F[e^{\pm x}, x]$ such that $\partial(g) = f$. Then $\text{ad}_\partial(g) = [\partial, g\partial] = f\partial = D(\partial)$. We have $(D - \text{ad}_\partial)(\partial) = 0$. By Lemma 4 we have $D = \text{ad}_\partial + \text{cad}_\partial + S$. Therefore, we have proven the theorem. $\square$

5 Some comments on the generalized Witt algebra

Let $F[x_1, x_2]$ be a polynomial ring. Consider the derivations $(x_1x_2\partial_1)$ and $(x_1x_2\partial_2)$ on $F[x_1, x_2]$ such that

$$(x_1x_2\partial_1)(x_1^ix_2^j) = x_1^ix_2^{1+j},$$

for any $x_1^ix_2^j \in F[x_1, x_2]$ and similarly for $(x_1x_2\partial_2)$.

Consider the vector space L over F with basis

$$\{x_1^ix_2^j(x_1x_2\partial_1), x_1^ax_2^b(x_1x_2\partial_2) \mid a, b, i, j \in N\}.$$

Then we can define a bracket on the basis as follows [compare with (1)]:

$$[x_1^{i_1} x_2^{j_1}(x_1 x_2 \partial_1), x_1^{i_2} x_2^{j_2}(x_1 x_2 \partial_1)] = (i_2 - i_1) x_1^{i_1+i_2} x_2^{j_1+j_2+1}(x_1 x_2 \partial_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{i_1} x_2^{j_1}(x_1 x_2 \partial_1), x_1^{a_1} x_2^{b_2}(x_1 x_2 \partial_2)] \\ &= a_1 x_1^{i_1+a_1} x_2^{j_1+b_2+1}(x_1 x_2 \partial_2) - j_1 x_1^{i_1+a_1+1} x_2^{j_1+b_2}(x_1 x_2 \partial_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[x_1^{a_1} x_2^{b_1}(x_1 x_2 \partial_2), x_1^{a_2} x_2^{b_2}(x_1 x_2 \partial_2)] = (b_2 - b_1) x_1^{i_1+i_2+1} x_2^{j_1+j_2}(x_1 x_2 \partial_2),$$

and we extend linearly to L , but this bracket does not satisfy the Jacobi identity, since $(x_1 x_2 \partial_1)$ and $(x_1 x_2 \partial_2)$ do not commute as derivations. Thus, it is important to find the generalized derivations on the polynomial ring to make a Lie algebra. Please refer to the paper [13] for the Witt-type algebra using generalized derivations on an associative, commutative K -algebra where K is a field of any characteristic.

Following is a quite interesting conjecture on the Witt algebra [6],[14].

Conjecture. If θ is any automorphism of the Witt algebra $W^+(n)$, then $\theta(x_i \partial_i) = ax_j \partial_j + b \partial_j$, where $0 \neq a \in F$ and $b \in F$.

It is not very difficult to prove this conjecture on $W^+(2)$ and $W^+(1)$.

Following is a quite interesting conjecture on the derivations of $W(n, m)$ and its subalgebras.

Conjecture. Each derivation of $W(n, m)$ can be written as a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation.

References

- [1] R. Block, *On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Soc., **9**, 1958, 613-620.
- [2] D. Z. Dokovic and K. Zhao *Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras*, Preprints, 1995, 1-5.
- [3] James E. Humphreys *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, **G.T.M. 9**, 1987, 7-21.
- [4] V. G. Kac *Description of Filtered Lie Algebra with which Graded Lie algebras of Cartan type are Associated*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom **38**, 1974, 832-834.
- [5] N. Kawamoto, *Generalizations of Witt algebras over a field of chateristic zero*, Hiroshima Math. J., **16**, 1986, 417-426.

- [6] N. Kawamoto, *On G-Graded Automorphisms of eneralized Witt algebras* , Contem. Math. A.M.S., **184**, 1995, 225-230.
- [7] T. Ikeda and N. Kawamoto, *On the derivations of generalized Witt algebras*, Hiroshima Math. J., **20**, 1990, 47-55.
- [8] E. Kirkman and C.Procesi and L.Small, *A q-Analog for the Virasoro Algebra*, Comm. in Alg.,**22** (12), 1994, 3755-3763.
- [9] A. I. Kostrikin and I. R. Safarevic, *Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic* , Math. USSR, Izv. **3** No. 2, 1970, 237-240.
- [10] Ki-Bong Nam *Generalized Witt Algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Thesis, 1998, 1-40.
- [11] J. Marshall Osborn *New Simple Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras of Characteristic 0*, J. of Algebra, 1996, 820-822.
- [12] Richard S. Pierce *Associative Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, **G.T.M. 88** 1982, 1-5.
- [13] D. S. Passman *Simple Lie Algebras of Witt-Type*, to appear 1996, 1-7.
- [14] A. N. Rudakov *Groups of Automorphisms of Infinite-Dimensional Simple Lie Algebras*, Math. USSR-Izvestija, **3**, 1969, 836-837.